arXiv:1604.06957v1 [math.AP] 23 Apr 2016

Strong instability of standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with harmonic potential

Dedicated to Professor Yoshio Tsutsumi on his 60th birthday

Masahito Ohta

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Science,
1-3 Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan

Abstract

We study strong instability of standing waves $e^{i\omega t}\phi_\omega(x)$ for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with L^2-supercritical nonlinearity and a harmonic potential, where ϕ_ω is a ground state of the corresponding stationary problem. We prove that $e^{i\omega t}\phi_\omega(x)$ is strongly unstable if $\partial_\lambda^2 E(\phi_\omega^\lambda)|_{\lambda=1} \le 0$, where E is the energy and $v^\lambda(x) = \lambda^{N/2}v(\lambda x)$ is the L^2-invariant scaling.

1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with the instability of standing waves $e^{i\omega t}\phi_\omega(x)$ for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a harmonic potential

$$i\partial_t u = -\Delta u + |x|^2 u - |u|^{p-1}u, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.1}$$

where $N \ge 1$ and $1 < p < 2^* - 1$. Here, 2^* is defined by $2^* = 2N/(N-2)$ if $N \ge 3$, and $2^* = \infty$ if $N = 1, 2$.

It is known that for any $\omega \in (-N, \infty)$, there exists a unique positive solution (ground state) $\phi_\omega(x)$ of the stationary problem

$$-\Delta\phi + |x|^2\phi + \omega\phi - |\phi|^{p-1}\phi = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \tag{1.2}$$

in the energy space

$$X := \{v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : |x|v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

Note that the condition $\omega > -N$ appears naturally in the existence of positive solutions for (1.2), because the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta + |x|^2$ is N. For the uniqueness of positive solutions for (1.2), see [7, 8, 9, 16].

The Cauchy problem for (1.1) is locally well-posed in the energy space X (see [3, §9.2] and [12]). That is, for any $u_0 \in X$ there exist $T_{\max} = T_{\max}(u_0) \in (0, \infty]$ and a unique solution $u \in C([0, T_{\max}), X)$ of (1.1) with intial condition $u(0) = u_0$ such that either $T_{\max} = \infty$ (global existence) or $T_{\max} < \infty$ and $\lim_{t \to T_{\max}} \|u(t)\|_X = \infty$ (finite time blowup). Moreover, the solution $u(t)$ satisfies the conservations of charge and energy

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2, \quad E(u(t)) = E(u_0) \tag{1.3}$$

for all $t \in [0, T_{\max})$, where the energy E is defined by

$$E(v) = \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|xv\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{p+1}\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}. \tag{1.4}$$

Here we give the definitions of stability and instability of standing waves.

Definition 1. We say that the standing wave solution $e^{i\omega t}\phi_\omega$ of (1.1) is *stable* if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $u_0 \in X$ and $\|u_0 - \phi_\omega\|_X < \delta$, then the solution $u(t)$ of (1.1) with $u(0) = u_0$ exists globally and satisfies

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \inf_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(t) - e^{i\theta}\phi_\omega\|_X < \varepsilon.$$

Otherwise, $e^{i\omega t}\phi_\omega$ is said to be *unstable*.

Definition 2. We say that $e^{i\omega t}\phi_\omega$ is *strongly unstable* if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $u_0 \in X$ such that $\|u_0 - \phi_\omega\|_X < \varepsilon$ and the solution $u(t)$ of (1.1) with $u(0) = u_0$ blows up in finite time.

Before we state our main result, we recall some known results on the stability and instablity of standing waves $e^{i\omega t}\phi_\omega$ for (1.1). When ω is sufficiently close to $-N$, the standing wave solution $e^{i\omega t}\phi_\omega$ of (1.1) is stable for any $p \in (1, 2^* - 1)$ (see [5]). On the other hand, when ω is sufficiently large, the standing wave solution $e^{i\omega t}\phi_\omega$ of (1.1) is stable if $1 < p \leq 1 + 4/N$ (see [5, 4]), and unstable if $1 + 4/N < p < 2^* - 1$ (see [6]). More precisely, it is proved in [6] that $e^{i\omega t}\phi_\omega$ is unstable if $\partial_\lambda^2 E(\phi_\omega^\lambda)|_{\lambda=1} < 0$, where $v^\lambda(x) = \lambda^{N/2} v(\lambda x)$ is the L^2-invariant scaling (see also [13]).

However, the strong instability of $e^{i\omega t}\phi_\omega$ has been unknown for (1.1), although there are some results on blowup (see, e.g., [2, 19, 17]).

Now we state our main result in this paper.

Theorem 1. *Let $N \geq 1$, $1 + 4/N < p < 2^* - 1$, $\omega > -N$, and let ϕ_ω be the positive solution of (1.2). Assume that $\partial_\lambda^2 E(\phi_\omega^\lambda)|_{\lambda=1} \leq 0$. Then, the standing wave solution $e^{i\omega t}\phi_\omega$ of (1.1) is strongly unstable.*

We remark that by the scaling $v^\lambda(x) = \lambda^{N/2} v(\lambda x)$ for $\lambda > 0$, we have $\|v^\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 = \|v\|_{L^2}^2$ and

$$E(v^\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{2}\|xv\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{p+1}\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}. \tag{1.5}$$

Here and hereafter, we put

$$\alpha := \frac{N}{2}(p-1) > 2.$$

Moreover, we define $S_\omega(v) = E(v) + \frac{\omega}{2}\|v\|_{L^2}^2$ for $v \in X$. Then, ϕ_ω satisfies $S_\omega'(\phi_\omega) = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = \partial_\lambda S_\omega(\phi_\omega^\lambda)\big|_{\lambda=1} &= \|\nabla\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 - \|x\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{\alpha}{p+1}\|\phi_\omega\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}, \\
\partial_\lambda^2 E(\phi_\omega^\lambda)\big|_{\lambda=1} &= \|\nabla\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + 3\|x\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{p+1}\|\phi_\omega\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \\
&= 4\|x\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{\alpha(\alpha-2)}{p+1}\|\phi_\omega\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the condition $\partial_\lambda^2 E(\phi_\omega^\lambda)|_{\lambda=1} \leq 0$ is equivalent to

$$\frac{\|x\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2}{\|\phi_\omega\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}} \leq \frac{\alpha(\alpha-2)}{4(p+1)}.$$

Furthermore, it is proved in Section 2 of [6] that

$$\lim_{\omega\to\infty} \frac{\|x\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2}{\|\phi_\omega\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}} = 0.$$

Therefore, as a corollary of Theorem 1, we have the following.

Corollary 2. *Let $N \geq 1$, $1 + 4/N < p < 2^* - 1$, $\omega > -N$, and let ϕ_ω be the positive solution of (1.2). Then, there exists $\omega_0 \in (-N, \infty)$ depending only on N and p such that the standing wave solution $e^{i\omega t}\phi_\omega$ of (1.1) is strongly unstable for all $\omega \in (\omega_0, \infty)$.*

We give the proof of Theorem 1 in Sections 2 and 3. In Section 2, we introduce a subset $\mathcal{B}_\omega$ of X, and Theorem 1 is reduced to Theorem 3. In Section 3, we give the proof of Theorem 3. The key to the proof of Theorem 3 is Lemma 4. The proof of Lemma 4 relies heavily on the specialty of harmonic potential, and it is not applicable to other types of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. For example, consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a delta potential in one space dimension

$$i\partial_t u = -\partial_x^2 u - \gamma\delta(x)u - |u|^{p-1}u, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}, \tag{1.6}$$

where $\gamma > 0$, $\delta(x)$ is the delta measure at the origin, $5 < p < \infty$, $\omega > \gamma^2/4$, and ϕ_ω is a unique positive solution of the corresponding stationary problem. It is proved in [15] that if $E_\gamma(\phi_\omega) > 0$, then $e^{i\omega t}\phi_\omega$ is strongly unstable for (1.6) (see also [11, 14] for related results), where the energy for (1.6) is defined by

$$E_\gamma(v) = \frac{1}{2}\|\partial_x v\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{\gamma}{2}|v(0)|^2 - \frac{1}{p+1}\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}, \quad v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Here, we remark that $E_\gamma(\phi_\omega) > 0$ implies $\partial_\lambda^2 E_\gamma(\phi_\omega^\lambda)|_{\lambda=1} < 0$ for this case. The problem for (1.6) is completely different from that for (1.1), and the proof of Lemma 4 in this paper is not applicable to (1.6). So, it is still an open problem whether the standing wave solution $e^{i\omega t}\phi_\omega$ of (1.6) is strongly unstable or not for the case where $E_\gamma(\phi_\omega) \le 0$ and $\partial_\lambda^2 E_\gamma(\phi_\omega^\lambda)|_{\lambda=1} < 0$ (see [15, §4] for more remarks).

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Throughout this section, we assume that $1 + 4/N < p < 2^* - 1$, $\omega > -N$, and ϕ_ω is the positive solution of (1.2). We put $\alpha = N(p-1)/2 > 2$.

The proofs of blowup and strong instability of standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations rely on the virial identity (see, e.g., [1, 3, 10, 18]). Let $u(t)$ be the solution of (1.1) with $u(0) = u_0 \in X$. Then, the function $t \mapsto \|xu(t)\|_{L^2}^2$ is in $C^2[0, T_{\max})$, and satisfies

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\|xu(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = 16P(u(t)) \tag{2.1}$$

for all $t \in [0, T_{\max})$, where

$$P(v) = \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|xv\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2(p+1)}\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}. \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover, we define

$$R(v) = \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + 3\|xv\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{p+1}\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}. \tag{2.3}$$

Note that by (1.5), we have

$$P(v^\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda\partial_\lambda E(v^\lambda), \quad R(v^\lambda) = \lambda^2\partial_\lambda^2 E(v^\lambda) \tag{2.4}$$

for $\lambda > 0$. We also define

$$\mathcal{A}_\omega = \left\{v \in X : E(v) < E(\phi_\omega),\ \|v\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2,\ \|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} > \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}\right\},$$
$$\mathcal{B}_\omega = \left\{v \in \mathcal{A}_\omega : P(v) < 0\right\}.$$

Lemma 1. *Assume that $R(\phi_\omega) \le 0$. Then, $\phi_\omega^\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_\omega$ for all $\lambda > 1$.*

Proof. First, we have $\|\phi_\omega^\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2$ and $\|\phi_\omega^\lambda\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} = \lambda^\alpha\|\phi_\omega\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} > \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}$ for $\lambda > 1$.

Next, by drawing the graphs of the functions $\lambda \mapsto P(\phi_\omega^\lambda)$ and $\lambda \mapsto E(\phi_\omega^\lambda)$ using (1.5), (2.4), $P(\phi_\omega) = 0$ and $R(\phi_\omega) \le 0$, we see that $P(\phi_\omega^\lambda) < P(\phi_\omega) = 0$ and $E(\phi_\omega^\lambda) < E(\phi_\omega)$ for $\lambda > 1$. This completes the proof. □

Since $\phi_\omega^\lambda \to \phi_\omega$ in X as $\lambda \to 1$, Theorem 1 follows from Lemma 1 and the following Theorem 3.

Theorem 3. *Let $N \ge 1$, $1 + 4/N < p < 2^* - 1$, $\omega > -N$, and assume that $R(\phi_\omega) \le 0$. If $u_0 \in \mathcal{B}_\omega$, then the solution $u(t)$ of (1.1) with $u(0) = u_0$ blows up in finite time.*

We give the proof of Theorem 3 in the next section.

3 Proof of Theorem 3

Throughout this section, we assume that $1 + 4/N < p < 2^* - 1$, $\omega > -N$, and ϕ_ω is the positive solution of (1.2).

Lemma 2. *If $v \in X$ satisfies $\|v\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2$ and $\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} = \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}$, then $E(\phi_\omega) \le E(v)$.*

Proof. It is well known that

$$S_\omega(\phi_\omega) = \inf\left\{S_\omega(v) : v \in X,\ \|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} = \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}\right\}$$

(see, e.g., Lemma 3.1 of [6]), where $S_\omega(v) = E(v) + \dfrac{\omega}{2}\|v\|_{L^2}^2$.

Thus, if $v \in X$ satisfies $\|v\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2$ and $\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} = \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}$, then

$$E(\phi_\omega) = S_\omega(\phi_\omega) - \frac{\omega}{2}\|\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 \le S_\omega(v) - \frac{\omega}{2}\|v\|_{L^2}^2 = E(v).$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 3. *The set $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ is invariant under the flow of (1.1). That is, if $u_0 \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$, then the solution $u(t)$ of (1.1) with $u(0) = u_0$ satisfies $u(t) \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$ for all $t \in [0, T_{\max})$.*

Proof. This follows from the conservation laws (1.3) and Lemma 2. □

By (1.4), (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$E(v) - P(v) = \|xv\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\alpha - 2}{2(p+1)}\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$R(v) - 2P(v) = 4\|xv\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{\alpha(\alpha-2)}{p+1}\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}. \tag{3.2}$$

The following lemma is the key to the proof of Theorem 3.

Lemma 4. *Assume that $R(\phi_\omega) \le 0$. If $v \in X$ satisfies*

$$P(v) \le 0,\ \|v\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2,\ \|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} > \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}, \tag{3.3}$$

then $E(\phi_\omega) \le E(v) - P(v)$.

Proof. Let $v \in X$ satisfy (3.3).

If $\|xv\|_{L^2}^2 \ge \|x\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2$, then it follows from $P(\phi_\omega) = 0$ and (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} E(\phi_\omega) &= \|x\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\alpha-2}{2(p+1)}\|\phi_\omega\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \\ &\le \|xv\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\alpha-2}{2(p+1)}\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} = E(v) - P(v). \end{aligned}$$

So, in what follows, we assume that

$$\|xv\|_{L^2}^2 \le \|x\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.4}$$

Let

$$\lambda_0 = \left(\frac{\|\phi_\omega\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}}{\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}} \right)^{1/\alpha}. \tag{3.5}$$

Then, $0 < \lambda_0 < 1$, $\|v^{\lambda_0}\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} = \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}$, $\|v^{\lambda_0}\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2$, and it follows from Lemma 2 that

$$E(\phi_\omega) \le E(v^{\lambda_0}). \tag{3.6}$$

Next, we define

$$f(\lambda) := E(v^\lambda) - \lambda^2 P(v) = \frac{\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2}}{2} \|xv\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\alpha\lambda^2 - 2\lambda^\alpha}{2(p+1)} \|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}$$

for $\lambda > 0$. Then, $f(\lambda_0) \le f(1)$ if and only if

$$(p+1)(\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_0^{-2} - 2)\|xv\|_{L^2}^2 \le (2\lambda_0^\alpha - \alpha\lambda_0^2 + \alpha - 2)\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}. \tag{3.7}$$

Here, since $P(\phi_\omega) = 0$ and $R(\phi_\omega) \le 0$, it follows from (3.2) that

$$4(p+1)\|x\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 \le \alpha(\alpha-2)\|\phi_\omega\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}. \tag{3.8}$$

Thus, by (3.4), (3.8) and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&4(p+1)(\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_0^{-2} - 2)\|xv\|_{L^2}^2 = 4(p+1)(\lambda_0 - \lambda_0^{-1})^2 \|xv\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\le 4(p+1)(\lambda_0 - \lambda_0^{-1})^2 \|x\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\le \alpha(\alpha-2)(\lambda_0 - \lambda_0^{-1})^2 \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} = \alpha(\alpha-2)(\lambda_0 - \lambda_0^{-1})^2 \lambda_0^\alpha \|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (3.7) holds if

$$\alpha(\alpha-2)(\lambda_0 - \lambda_0^{-1})^2 \lambda_0^\alpha \le 4(2\lambda_0^\alpha - \alpha\lambda_0^2 + \alpha - 2). \tag{3.9}$$

Here, we put $\beta = \alpha/2$ and define

$$g(s) := s^\beta - 1 - \beta(s-1) - \frac{\beta(\beta-1)}{2}(s-1)^2 s^{\beta-1}$$

for $s > 0$. Then, (3.9) is equivalent to $g(\lambda_0^2) \ge 0$. By the Taylor expansion of s^β at $s = 1$, we have

$$g(\lambda_0^2) = \frac{\beta(\beta-1)}{2}(\lambda_0^2 - 1)^2 \left\{ \xi^{\beta-2} - (\lambda_0^2)^{\beta-1} \right\}$$

for some $\xi \in (\lambda_0^2, 1)$. Since $\beta > 1$ and $\lambda_0^2 < \xi < 1$, we have

$$(\lambda_0^2)^{\beta-1} \le \xi^{\beta-1} \le \xi^{\beta-2},$$

and obtain $g(\lambda_0^2) \geq 0$. Thus, we have (3.7) and $f(\lambda_0) \leq f(1)$.

Finally, since $P(v) \leq 0$, it follows from (3.6) that

$$E(\phi_\omega) \leq E(v^{\lambda_0}) \leq E(v^{\lambda_0}) - \lambda_0^2 P(v) = f(\lambda_0) \leq f(1) = E(v) - P(v).$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 5. *Assume that $R(\phi_\omega) \leq 0$. Then, the set $\mathcal{B}_\omega$ is invariant under the flow of* (1.1).

Proof. Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{B}_\omega$ and $u(t)$ be the solution of (1.1) with $u(0) = u_0$. Since $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ is invariant under the flow of (1.1), we have only to show that $P(u(t)) < 0$ for all $t \in [0, T_{\max})$.

Suppose that there exists $t_1 \in (0, T_{\max})$ such that $P(u(t_1)) \geq 0$. Then, by the continuity of the function $t \mapsto P(u(t))$, there exists $t_0 \in (0, t_1]$ such that $P(u(t_0)) = 0$. Moreover, by Lemma 3, we have $\|u(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2$ and $\|u(t_0)\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} > \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}$. Thus, by Lemma 4, we have

$$E(\phi_\omega) \leq E(u(t_0)) - P(u(t_0)) = E(u(t_0)).$$

On the other hand, since it follows from Lemma 3 that $E(u(t_0)) < E(\phi_\omega)$, this is a contradiction.

Therefore, $P(u(t)) < 0$ for all $t \in [0, T_{\max})$. □

Now we give the proof of Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{B}_\omega$ and let $u(t)$ be the solution of (1.1) with $u(0) = u_0$. Then, by Lemma 5, $u(t) \in \mathcal{B}_\omega$ for all $t \in [0, T_{\max})$.

Moreover, by the virial identity (2.1), Lemma 4 and the conservation of energy (1.3), we have

$$\frac{1}{16}\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\|xu(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = P(u(t)) \leq E(u(t)) - E(\phi_\omega) = E(u_0) - E(\phi_\omega) < 0$$

for all $t \in [0, T_{\max})$, which implies $T_{\max} < \infty$. This completes the proof. □

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 15K04968 and 26247013. The author thanks Takahiro Yamaguchi for valuable discussions.

References

[1] H. Berestycki and T. Cazenave, *Instabilité des états stationnaires dans les équations de Schrödinger et de Klein-Gordon non linéaires*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **293** (1981), 489–492.

[2] R. Carles, *Remarks on nonlinear Schrödinger equations with harmonic potential*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **3** (2002), 757–772.

[3] T. Cazenave, *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*, Courant Lect. Notes in Math., 10, New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

[4] R. Fukuizumi, *Stability of standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with critical power nonlinearity and potentials*, Adv. Differential Equations **10** (2005), 259–276.

[5] R. Fukuizumi and M. Ohta, *Stability of standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials*, Differential Integral Equations **16** (2003), 111–128.

[6] R. Fukuizumi and M. Ohta, *Instability of standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials*, Differential Integral Equations **16** (2003), 691–706

[7] M. Hirose and M. Ohta, *Structure of positive radial solutions to scalar field equations with harmonic potential*, J. Differential Equations **178** (2002), 519–540.

[8] M. Hirose and M. Ohta, *Uniqueness of positive solutions to scalar field equations with harmonic potential*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **50** (2007), 67–100.

[9] Y. Kabeya and K. Tanaka, *Uniqueness of positive radial solutions of semilinear elliptic equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and Séré's non-degeneracy condition*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **24** (1999), 563–598.

[10] S. Le Coz, *A note on Berestycki-Cazenave's classical instability result for nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **8** (2008), 455–463.

[11] K. Nakanishi, *Global dynamics below excited solitons for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a potential*, Preprint, arXiv:1504.06532.

[12] Y.-G. Oh, *Cauchy problem and Ehrenfest's law of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials*, J. Differential Equations **81** (1989), 255–274.

[13] M. Ohta, *Instability of standing waves for the generalized Davey-Stewartson system*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Phys. Théor. **62** (1995), 69–80.

[14] M. Ohta and T. Yamaguchi, *Strong instability of standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with double power nonlinearity*, SUT J. Math. **51** (2015), 49–58.

[15] M. Ohta and T. Yamaguchi, *Strong instability of standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with a delta potential*, Preprint, arXiv:1411.2620.

[16] N. Shioji and K. Watanabe, *A generalized Pohožaev identity and uniqueness of positive radial solutions of* $\Delta u+g(r)u+h(r)u^p = 0$, J. Differential Equations **255** (2013), 4448–4475.

[17] Y. Wang, *Strong instability of standing waves for Hartree equation with harmonic potential*, Phys. D **237** (2008), 998–1005.

[18] J. Zhang, *Cross-constrained variational problem and nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Foundations of computational mathematics (Hong Kong, 2000), 457–469, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2002.

[19] J. Zhang, *Sharp threshold for blowup and global existence in nonlinear Schrödinger equations under a harmonic potential*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **30** (2005), 1429–1443.